

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Vyrginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/675,450	09/30/2003	Nathaniel Marvin Myhre	60001.0384US01/MS303947.1 5594		
27488 MERCHANT	7590 01/05/2007 & GOULD (MICROSOFT)		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2903			DAYE, CHELCIE L		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2161		
•					
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/675,450	MYHRE, NATHANIEL MARVIN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Chelcie Daye	2161		

·	Chelcie Daye	2161	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 December 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a h ving replies: (1) an amend tice of Appeal (with appea	Notice of Appeal. To avoid abo ment, affidavit, or other evide Il fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) V		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the correspondin shortened statutory period for than three months after the	g amount of the fee. The approp reply originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of t	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filin	na a brief will not be entered b	necause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search		/ccause
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beto</li> </ul>		terially reducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	21. See attached Notice o	f Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		eparate, timely filed amendm	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7 and 9-30</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of d sufficient reasons why t	filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> he affidavit or other evidence	ot be entered is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections un	der appeal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the app	olication in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	·	
13.  Other:		- n	
	Etien	ne Phane imany exam	
	fer	imany laum	ner

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations and changes raise new issues such as: new additions to independent claims 6 and 25, wherein; "accepting the search query word from the search query", "retrieving one of a plurality of search query characters from the search query word", "accepting a document content character from the electronic document", "determining when the document content character is an ink character or a text character", "conducting a text character match in response to a determination that the document content character is a text character", and "conducting an ink character match in response to a determination that the first document content character is an ink character". Minor amendments have also been made claims 17,20,and 23. As a result, requiring further search and consideration by the examiner.